Dated: October 14, 2004

Reply to Office Action dated September 21, 2004

## **REMARKS**

In Support of the propriety of the restriction requirement, the Examiner has stated that the product as claimed can be made by another materially different process and specifically suggests that the Cowl Grille Structure may be attached to the mounting housing structure by a process other than moldings such as adhesively attaching the two components.

Applicant respectfully notes that the product made by this process would not be the product as claimed since the product as claimed requires mounting housing structure molded integrally with the main body portion of the Cowl Grille Structure. A product made by glueing the mounting housing structure to the main body planar leaf screen portion would not be the product of the claims since, as noted, all of the claims require that the mounting housing structure to be molded integrally with the main body portion of the Cowl Grille Structure.

Accordingly, withdrawal of the restriction requirement is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

YOUNG & BASILE, P.C.

Donald L. Wood

Attorney for Applicant(s) Registration No. 20,014

(248) 649-3333

3001 West Big Beaver Rd., Suite 624 Troy, Michigan 48084-3107

Dated: October 14, 2004

DLW/paa